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#### Abstract

In this paper we preprocess a set $P$ of $n$ points so that we can answer queries of the following form: Given a convex $m$-gon $Q$, report the minimum circle containing $P$ and excluding $Q$. Our data structure can be constructed in $O(n \log n)$ time using $O(n)$ space, and answers queries in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time.


## 1 Introduction

The planar separability problem consists of constructing, if possible, a boundary that separates the plane into two components such that two given sets of geometric objects become isolated. Typically this boundary is a single curve such as a line, circle or simple polygon, meaning that each component of the plane is connected. Probably the most classic instance of this problem is to separate two given point sets with a circle (or a line, which is equivalent to an infinitely large circle). A separating line can be found, if it exists, using linear programing. This takes linear time by Megiddo's algorithm (9). For circle separability (in fact spherical separability in any fixed dimension), O'Rourke, Kosaraju and Megiddo [10] gave a linear-time algorithm for the decision problem improving earlier bounds [3, 8]. They also gave an $O(n \log n)$ time algorithm for finding the largest separating circle and a linear-time algorithm for finding the minimum separating circle between any two finite point sets. With these ideas, Boissonat et al. (4) gave a linear-time algorithm to report the smallest separating circle for two simple polygons, if any exists.
Augustine et al. 1 showed how to preprocess a point set (or a simple polygon) $P$, so that the largest circle isolating $P$ from a query point can be found in logarithmic time. For the line separability problem, Edelsbrunner showed that a point set $P$ can be preprocessed in $O(n \log n)$ time, so that a separating line between $P$ and a query convex $m$-gon $Q$ can be computed in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time [7]. In 3D, Dobkin and Kirkpatrick showed that two convex polyhedra of size $n$ and $m$ can be preprocessed in linear time, so that a separating plane, if any exists, can be computed in

[^0]$O(\log n \cdot \log m)$ time [6]. In this paper we show that a set $P$ on $n$ points can be preprocessed in $O(n \log n)$ time, using $O(n)$ space, so that for any given convex $m$ gon $Q$ we can find the smallest circle enclosing $P$ and excluding $Q$ in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time. This improves the $O(\log n \cdot \log m)$ bound presented in [2], which is described in this paper as well.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $P$ be a set of $n$ points in the plane and let $Q$ be a convex $m$-gon. A $P$-circle is a circle containing $P$ and a separating circle is a $P$-circle whose interior does not intersect $Q$. A separating line is a straight line leaving the interiors of $P$ and $Q$ in different halfplanes.

Let C* denote the minimum separating circle and let $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ be its center. Note that $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ passes through at least two points of $P$, hence $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ lies on an edge of the farthestpoint Voronoi diagram $\mathcal{V}(P)$, which is a tree with leaves at infinity [5. For each point $p$ of $P$, let $R(p)$ be the farthest-point Voronoi region of $p$.

Let $C_{P}$ be the minimum enclosing circle of $P$. If $C_{P}$ is constrained by three points of $P$ then its center, $c_{P}$, is at a vertex of $\mathcal{V}(P)$. Otherwise $C_{P}$ is constrained by two points of $P$ (forming its diameter). In this case, $c_{P}$ is on the interior of an edge of $\mathcal{V}(P)$ and we insert $c_{P}$ into $\mathcal{V}(P)$ by splitting the edge where it belongs. Thus, we can think of $\mathcal{V}(P)$ as a rooted tree on $c_{P}$. For any given point $x$ on $\mathcal{V}(P)$ there is a unique path along $\mathcal{V}(P)$ joining $c_{P}$ with $x$. Throughout this paper we will denote this path by $\pi_{x}$.

Given any point $y$ in the plane, let $C(y)$ be the minimum $P$-circle with center on $y$ and let $\rho(y)$ be the radius of $C(y)$. We say that $y$ is a separating point if $C(y)$ is a separating circle.

## 3 Properties of the minimum separating circle

In this section we describe some properties of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$, and the relationship between $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{V}(P)$. Several results in this section have been proved in [2].

Let $\mathrm{CH}(P)$ denote the convex hull of $P$. We assume that the interiors of $Q$ and $\mathrm{CH}(P)$ are disjoint, otherwise there is no separating circle. Also, if $Q$ and $C_{P}$ have disjoint interiors, then $C_{P}$ is trivially the minimum separating circle.

Observation 1 Every $P$-circle contained in a separating circle is also a separating circle.

Lemma 2 2] Let $x$ be a point on $\mathcal{V}(P)$. The function $\rho$ is monotonically increasing along every edge of the path $\pi_{x}$ starting at $c_{P}$.

We remark that Lemma 2 has also been shown to hold on vertices of $\pi_{x}$ (not edge interiors), in [12].

Theorem 3 [2] Let $s$ be a point on $\mathcal{V}(P)$. If $s$ is a separating point, then $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ belongs to $\pi_{s}$.

Given a separating point $s$, we claim that if we move a point $y$ continuously from $s$ towards $c_{P}$ on $\pi_{s}$, then $C(y)$ will shrink and approach $Q$, becoming tangent to it for the first time when $y$ reaches $\mathbf{c}^{*}$. To prove this claim in Lemma 6, we introduce the following notation.

Let $x$ be a point lying on an edge $e$ of $\mathcal{V}(P)$ such that $e$ lies on the bisector of $p, p^{\prime} \in P$. Let $C^{-}(x)$ and $C^{+}(x)$ be the two closed convex regions obtained by splitting the disk $C(x)$ with the segment $\left[p, p^{\prime}\right]$. Assume that $x$ is contained in $C^{-}(x)$; see Figure 1 .

Observation 4 Let $x$, $y$ be two points lying on an edge $e$ of $\mathcal{V}(P)$. If $\rho(x)>\rho(y)$, then $C^{+}(x) \subset C^{+}(y)$ and $C^{-}(y) \subset C^{-}(x)$.


Figure 1: Observation 4 when $\rho(x)>\rho(y)$.

Lemma 5 Let $s$ be a point on $\mathcal{V}(P)$ and let $x$ and $y$ be two points on $\pi_{s}$. If $\rho(x)>\rho(y)$, then $C^{+}(x) \subset C^{+}(y)$ and $C^{-}(y) \subset C^{-}(x)$.

Proof. Note that if $x$ and $y$ lie on the same edge, then the result holds by Observation 4. If they are on different edges, we consider the path $\Phi=\left(x, v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k}, y\right)$ contained in $\pi_{s}$ joining $x$ and $y$, such that $v_{i}$ is a
vertex of $\mathcal{V}(P), i \in\{0, \ldots, k\}$. Thus, Observation 4 and Lemma 2 imply that $C^{+}(x) \subset C^{+}\left(v_{0}\right) \subset \ldots \subset$ $C^{+}\left(v_{k}\right) \subset C^{+}(y)$ and that $C^{-}(y) \subset C^{-}\left(v_{k}\right) \subset \ldots \subset$ $C^{-}\left(v_{0}\right) \subset C^{-}(x)$.

Note that $\mathbf{C}^{*}=C\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$ must be tangent to the boundary of $Q$. Otherwise, $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ could be pushed closer to the root on $\mathcal{V}(P)$, while keeping it as a separating point until it reaches $Q$. From now on we refer to $\phi^{\prime}$ as the tangency point between $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ and $Q$. We claim that $\phi^{\prime}$ lies on the boundary of $C^{+}\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$. Assume to the contrary that $\phi^{\prime}$ lies on $C^{-}\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $c_{\varepsilon}$ be the point obtained by moving $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ a distance of $\varepsilon$ towards $c_{P}$ on $\mathcal{V}(P)$. Note that by Lemma 2, $\rho\left(c_{\varepsilon}\right)<\rho\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$. In addition, Lemma 5 implies that $C^{-}\left(c_{\varepsilon}\right) \subset C^{-}\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$. Since we assumed that $\phi^{\prime}$ lies on the boundary of $C^{-}\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$, we conclude that $\phi^{\prime}$ does not belong to $C\left(c_{\varepsilon}\right)$. This implies that, for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, $C\left(c_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a separating circle which is a contradiction to the minimality of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$. The following result was mentioned in [2] without a proof.

Lemma 6 Let $s$ be a separating point. If $x$ is a point lying on $\pi_{s}$, then $C(x)$ is a separating circle if and only if $\rho(x) \geq \rho\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ is the only separating circle whose boundary intersects $Q$.

Proof. We know by Theorem 3 that $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ belongs to $\pi_{s}$. Let $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be two points on $\pi_{s}$ such that $\rho\left(x_{1}\right)<\rho\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$ and $\rho\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)<\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$. Lemma 5 implies that $C^{+}\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right) \subset C^{+}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and since $\phi^{\prime}$ belongs to the boundary of $C^{+}\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$, we conclude $C\left(x_{1}\right)$ contains $\phi^{\prime}$ in its interior. Therefore $C\left(x_{1}\right)$ is not a separating circle.

On the other hand, $C\left(x_{2}\right)$ contains no point of $Q$. Otherwise, let $q \in Q$ be a point lying in $C\left(x_{2}\right)$. Two cases arise: Either $q$ belongs to $C^{-}\left(x_{2}\right)$ or $q$ belongs to $C^{+}\left(x_{2}\right)$. In the former case, since $\rho(s)>\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$, $q \in C^{-}\left(x_{2}\right) \subset C^{-}(s)$ - a contradiction since $C(s)$ is a separating circle. In the latter case, since $\rho\left(x_{2}\right)>\rho\left(\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)$, Lemma 5 would imply that $q$ belongs to the interior of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ which would also be a contradiction.

The basis of our algorithm is to find a separating point $s$ and then perform a binary search on $\pi_{s}$ to find a separating circle tangent to $Q$ with center on this path.

## 4 Preprocessing

We first compute $\mathcal{V}(P)$ and $c_{P}$ in $O(n \log n)$ time [13. Assume that $\mathcal{V}(P)$ is stored as a binary tree with $n$ (unbounded) leaves, so that every edge and every vertex of the tree has a set of pointers to the vertices of $P$ defining it. Every Voronoi region is stored as a convex polygon and every vertex $p$ of $P$ has a pointer to $R(p)$. If $c_{P}$ is not a vertex of $\mathcal{V}(P)$, we split the edge that it belongs to. We want our data structure to support binary search queries on any possible path $\pi_{s}$ of $\mathcal{V}(P)$.

Thus, to guide the binary search we would like to have an oracle that answers queries of the following form: Given a vertex $v$ of $\pi_{s}$, decide if $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ lies either between $c_{P}$ and $v$ or between $v$ and $s$ in $\pi_{s}$. By Lemma 6, we only need to decide if $C(v)$ is a separating circle.

We will use an operation on the vertices of $\mathcal{V}(P)$ called PointBetween with the following properties. Given two vertices $u, v$ in $\pi_{s}$, PointBetween $(u, v)$ returns a vertex $z$ that splits the path on $\pi_{s}$ joining $u$ and $v$ into two subpaths. Moreover, if we use our oracle to discard the subpath that does not contain $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ and we proceed recursively on the other, then, after $O(\log n)$ iterations, the search interval becomes only an edge of $\pi_{s}$ containing $\mathbf{c}^{*}$.

A data structure that supports this operation was presented in [12. This data structure can be constructed in $O(n)$ time and uses linear space.

## 5 The algorithm

Since $Q$ is a convex $m$-gon, we can check in $O(\log m)$ time if $C_{P}$ is a separating circle [7]. Thus, assume that $C_{P}$ is not the minimum separating circle. To determine the position of $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ on $\mathcal{V}(P)$, we first find a separating point $s$ and then search for $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ on $\pi_{s}$ using our data structure. To find $s$, we construct a separating line $L$ between $P$ and $Q$ in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time [7. Let $p_{L}$ be the point of $P$ closest to $L$ and assume that no other point in $P$ lies at the same distance; otherwise rotate $L$ slightly. Let $L_{\perp}$ be the perpendicular to $L$ that contains $p_{L}$ and let $s$ be the intersection of $L_{\perp}$ with the boundary of $R\left(p_{L}\right)$; see Figure 2. We know that $L_{\perp}$ intersects $R\left(p_{L}\right)$ because $L$ can be considered as a $P$-circle, containing only $p_{L}$, with center at infinity on $L_{\perp}$.


Figure 2: Construction of $s$. Figure borrowed from [2].

Since $s$ is on the boundary of $R\left(p_{L}\right), C(s)$ passes through $p_{L}$. Furthermore $C(s)$ is contained in the same
halfplane defined by $L$ that contains $P$. So $C(s)$ is a separating circle. Assume that $s$ lies on the edge $\overline{x y}$ of $\mathcal{V}(P)$ with $\rho(x)>\rho(y)$ and let $\pi_{s}=\left(u_{0}=s, u_{1}=\right.$ $y, \ldots, u_{r}=c_{P}$ ) be the path of length $r+1$ joining $s$ with $c_{P}$ in $\mathcal{V}(P)$. Theorem 3 implies that $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ lies on $\pi_{s}$.

It is then possible to use our data structure to perform a binary search on the vertices of $\pi_{s}$, computing, at each vertex $v$, the radius of $C(v)$ and the distance to $Q$ in $O(\log m)$ time. This way we can determine if $C(v)$ is a separating (or intersecting) circle. This approach finds $c_{P}$ in $O(\log n \cdot \log m)$ time and was the algorithm given in [2]. However, an improvement can be obtained by using the convexity of $Q$.

To determine if some point $v$ on $\pi_{s}$ is a separating point, it is not always necessary to compute the distance between $v$ and $Q$. One can first test, in $O(1)$ time, if $C(v)$ intersects a separating line tangent to $Q$. If not, then $C(v)$ is a separating circle and we can proceed with the binary search. Otherwise, we can try to compute a new separating line, tangent to $Q$, not intersecting $C(v)$. The advantage of this is that while doing so, we reduce the portion of $Q$ that we need to consider in the future. This is done as follows:

Compute the two internal tangents $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ between the convex hull of $P$ and $Q$ in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time. The techniques to construct these tangents are shown in Chapter 4 of [11. Let $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ be the respective tangency points of $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ with the boundary of $Q$. Consider the clockwise polygonal chain $\varphi=[q=$ $\left.q_{0}, \ldots, q_{k}=q^{\prime}\right]$ joining $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ as in Figure 3. Recall that $\phi^{\prime}$ denotes the intersection point between $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ and the boundary of $Q$ and note that the tangent line to $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ at $\phi^{\prime}$ is a separating line. Therefore, $\phi^{\prime}$ must lie on an edge of $\varphi$ since no separating line passes through any other boundary point of $Q$.


Figure 3: The construction of $\varphi$.

If $q=q^{\prime}$, then $\phi^{\prime}=q$ and hence we can ignore $Q$ and compute the minimum separating circle between $P$ and
$q$. As mentioned previously, this takes $O(\log n)$ time. Assume from now on that $q \neq q^{\prime}$, as shown in Figure 3 ,

For each edge $e_{i}=q_{i} q_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq k-1)$ of $\varphi$, let $\ell_{i}$ be the line extending that edge. By construction, we know that each $\ell_{i}$ separates $P$ and $Q$. We say that a point $x$ on $\ell_{i}$ but not on $e_{i}$ lies to the left of $e_{i}$ if it is closer to $q_{i}$, or to the right if it is closer to $q_{i+1}$.
Our algorithm will essentially perform two parallel binary searches, the first one on $\pi_{s}$ and the second one on $\varphi$, such that at each step we discard either a section of $\pi_{s}$ or a linear fraction of $\varphi$. As we search on $\pi_{s}$, every time we find a separating circle, we move towards $c_{P}$. When we confirm that a $P$-circle intersects $Q$, we move away from $c_{P}$. To confirm if a vertex $v$ is a separating point, we compare $C(v)$ to some separating line $\ell_{i}$ for intersection in constant time. If $C(v)$ is a separating circle, we discard the section of the path lying below $v$ on $\mathcal{V}(P)$. If $C(v)$ does intersect $\ell_{i}$, we make a quick attempt to check if $C(v)$ intersects $Q$ by comparing $C(v)$ and the edge $e_{i}$ for intersection. If they intersect, $v$ is not a separating point and we can proceed with the binary search on $\pi_{s}$. Otherwise, the intersection of $C(v)$ with $\ell_{i}$ lies either to the left or to the right of $e_{i}$. However, in this case we are not able to quickly conclude whether $C(v)$ intersects $Q$ or not. Thus, we suspend the binary search on $\mathcal{V}(P)$ and focus on $C(v)$, using its intersection with $\ell_{i}$ to eliminate half of $\varphi$. Specifically, the fact that $C(v)$ intersects $\ell_{i}$ to one side of $e_{i}$ (right or left) tells us that no future $P$-circle on our search will intersect $\ell_{i}$ on the other side of $e_{i}$. This implicitly discards half of $\varphi$ from future consideration, and is discussed in more detail in Theorem 7 Thus, in constant time, we manage to remove a section of the path $\pi_{s}$, or half of $\varphi$. The entire process is detailed in Algorithm 1

Theorem 7 Algorithm 1 finds the edge of $\pi_{s}$ containing $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time.

Proof. Our algorithm maintains two invariants. The first is that $C(u)$ is never a separating circle and $C(v)$ is always a separating circle. To begin with, $C(u)=$ $C(s)$ is a separating circle while $C(v)=C_{P}$ is not. If either of these assumptions does not hold, the problem is solved trivially, without resorting to this algorithm. Changes to $u$ and $v$ occur in steps 14 or 17, and in both the invariant is preserved. Thus, $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ always lies on the path joining $u$ with $v$. As a second invariant, $\phi^{\prime}$ always lies on the clockwise path joining $q_{a}$ with $q_{b}$ along $\varphi$. We already explained that the invariant holds when $a=0$ and $b=k$, corresponding to the inner tangents supporting $P$ and $Q$. Thus, we only need to look at steps 20 and 22 where $a$ and $b$ are redefined. We analyze Step 20, however Step 22 is analogous.
In Step 20 we know that $C(z)$ intersects $\ell_{j}$ to the left of $e_{j}$ and that $e_{j}$ does not intersect $C(z)$. We claim that for every point $w$ lying on an edge of $\pi_{s}$, if $C(w)$ is a

```
Algorithm 1 Given \(\varphi=\left[q=q_{0}, \ldots, q_{k}=q^{\prime}\right]\) and
\(\pi_{s}=\left(u_{0}=s, u_{1}=y, \ldots, u_{r}=c_{P}\right)\), find the edge of \(\pi_{s}\)
that contains \(\mathbf{c}^{*}\).
    Define the initial subpath of \(\pi_{s}\) that contains \(\mathbf{c}^{*}\),
    \(u \leftarrow s, v \leftarrow c_{P}\)
    Define the initial search interval on the chain \(\varphi\),
    \(a \leftarrow 0, b \leftarrow k\)
    if \(u\) and \(v\) are neighbors in \(\mathcal{V}(P)\) and \(b=a+1\) then
        Finish and report the segment \(S=[u, v]\) and the
        segment \(H=\left[q_{a}, q_{b}\right]\)
    end if
    Let \(z \leftarrow \operatorname{FindPointBetween~}(u, v), j \leftarrow\left\lfloor\frac{a+b}{2}\right\rfloor\)
    Let \(e_{j} \leftarrow \overline{q_{j} q_{j+1}}\) and let \(\ell_{j}\) be the line extending \(e_{j}\)
    if \(b>a+1\) then
        Compute \(\rho(z)\) and let \(\delta \leftarrow d\left(z, \ell_{j}\right), \Delta \leftarrow d\left(z, e_{j}\right)\)
    else
        Compute \(\rho(z)\) and let \(\delta \leftarrow d\left(z, e_{j}\right), \Delta \leftarrow d\left(z, e_{j}\right)\)
    end if
    if \(\rho(z) \leq \delta\), that is \(C(z)\) is a separating circle then
        Move forward on \(\pi_{s}, u \leftarrow z\) and return to Step 3
    else
        if \(\rho(z)>\Delta\), that is if \(C(z)\) is not a separating
        circle then
            Move backward on \(\pi_{s}, v \leftarrow z\) and return to
            Step 3
        else
            if \(C(z)\) intersects \(\ell_{j}\) to the left of \(e_{j}\) then
                Discard the polygonal chain to the right of
                \(e_{j}, b \leftarrow \max \{j, a+1\}\)
            else
                    Discard the polygonal chain to the left of \(e_{j}\),
                    \(a \leftarrow j\)
            end if
            Return to Step 3
        end if
    end if
```

separating circle that intersects $\ell_{j}$, then it intersects it to the left of $e_{j}$. Note that if our claim is true, we can ignore the polygonal chain lying to the right of $e_{j}$ since no separating circle will intersect it. To prove our claim, suppose that there is a point $w$ on $\pi_{s}$, such that $C(w)$ is a separating circle and $C(w)$ intersects $\ell_{j}$ to the right of $e_{j}$. Let $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ be two points on the intersection of $\ell_{j}$ with $C(w)$ and $C(z)$, respectively. First suppose that $\rho(w)<\rho(z)$ and recall that by Lemma 5. since $x^{\prime}$ lies on $C^{+}(z) \subset C^{+}(w), x^{\prime}$ lies in $C(w)$. Thus, both $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ belong to $C(w)$ which by convexity implies that $e_{j}$ is contained in $C(w)$. Therefore $C(w)$ is not a separating circle which is a contradiction. Analogously, if $\rho(w)>\rho(z)$, then $e_{j}$ is contained in $C(z)$ which is directly a contradiction since we assumed the opposite. Thus, our claim holds.

Note that in each iteration of the algorithm, $a, b, u$ or $v$ are redefined so that either a linear fraction of $\varphi$ is discarded, or a part of $\pi_{s}$ is discarded and a new call to PointBetween is performed. Recall that our data structure guarantees that $O(\log n)$ calls to PointBetween are sufficient to reduce the search interval in $\pi_{s}$ to an edge [12]. Thus, the algorithm finishes in $O(\log n+\log m)$ iterations.

One additional detail needs to be considered when $b=a+1$. In this case only one edge $e=\left[q_{a}, q_{a+1}\right]$ remains from $\varphi$, and $\phi^{\prime}$ lies on $e$. Thus, if the line $\ell$ extending $e$ intersects $C(z)$ but $e$ does not, then either Step 20 or 22 is executed. However, nothing will change in these steps and the algorithm will loop. In order to avoid that, we check in Step 8 if only one edge $e$ of $\varphi$ remains. If this is the case, we know by our invariant that $\phi^{\prime}$ belongs to $e$ and therefore we continue the search computing the distance to $e$ instead of computing the distance to the line extending it. This way, the search on $\varphi$ stops but it continues on $\pi_{s}$ until the edge of $\mathcal{V}(P)$ containing $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ is found.

Since we ensured that every edge in $\mathcal{V}(P)$ has pointers to the points in $P$ that defined it, every step in the algorithm can be executed in $O(1)$ time. Thus, we conclude that Algorithm 1 finishes in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time. Since both invariants are preserved during the execution, Lemma 6 implies that the algorithm returns segments $[u, v]$ from $\pi_{s}$ containing $\mathbf{c}^{*}$, and $\left[q_{a}, q_{b}\right]$ from $\varphi$ containing $\phi^{\prime}$.

From the output of Algorithm 1 it is trivial to obtain $c^{*}$ in constant time.

Corollary 8 After preprocessing a set $P$ of $n$ points in $O(n \log n)$ time, the minimum separating circle between $P$ and any query convex m-gon can be found in $O(\log n+\log m)$ time.
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